Review Sheet -- Final Examination

The purpose of this sheet is to provide a brief list of learning objectives for the exam. It focuses principally on the sorts of material that will be covered on the longer essays and in some of the sort essay questions. It is not meant to cover all material assigned in the readings. The readings for the final are:

Unit IIIC, The two sets of notes for the Unit, Plato, *Phaedo*, 65B-67B; Aristotle, Nicomachean Ethics, DT Suzuki, selections from “The Doctrine of Enlightenment”; Geoffrey Arnold “The Freedom of No Escape”, Kallistos Ware, “What is the Heart and What do We Find when We Enter” as well as the brief selection from the “Art of Prayer” contained in the supplemental notes for Unit IIIC. We are skipping unit IV. For Unit 5, the notes for unit 5 and the reading by E. Jones, “The Peril of Stigma.”

Some shorter questions will definitely focus on material assigned in reading but not covered in class. UNIT IIIC (the role of reason in human life) Successful study of material for this unit means that you should be able to

For Aristotle and Plato:
1. know the definitions provided in the notes for this unit.
2. set forth Aristotle’s conception of the relation between happiness and human nature, the formal nature of happiness as the final end of human life, and why specifically Aristotle thinks that happiness consists in activity in conformity with reason.
3. explain why Aristotle believe that happiness cannot consist in wealth, pleasure and fame.
4. explain why Aristotle would defend the claim that the best lived life is the philosophical life.
5. explain why Socrates (in the *Phaedo*) thinks that philosophy is a rehearsal for death and, accordingly, why the best life is a philosophical life (you are responsible for this material. It was not covered in class).
6. explain why someone (e.g.,) a fundamentalist might reject the claim that a philosophical life is the best life in light of a different understanding than Aristotle’s of the ultimate end and nature of human life.

For material on Zen Buddhism you should be able to discuss these issues:
1. The article by D.T. Suzuki:
   a) Define or characterize the following terms: freedom; suffering; problem of problems; buddhahood (p. 10)
   b) What does S mean by command to choose between the ‘everlasting no’ and the ‘everlasting yes.’ What examples of this can you give in Christian or Western experience (e.g., “Let Thy will be done.”)
   c) why does life essentially involve suffering; how is the ego-centered character of life connected with and a cause of suffering;
   d) What is meant by the ‘problem of problems’ (that is, the struggle between the finite/infinite; or flesh/spirit; intellect/higher power)
   e) how does Zen deal with the problem of problems: why does S critique the idea that reason can solve the problem of problems; in what way does the solution lie in person, directly experience of life; what is meant by the analogy of the ‘finger pointing to the moon.’ Why is it important not to confuse the finger with the moon.; why does Suzuki think the formulation of the problem of problems as a struggle between the finite and infinite is an illusion;
   f) what is meant by saying that “Zen never explains but indicates.”
2. Geoffrey Arnold “The Freedom of No Escape”
1) define or characterize: ‘grass’; ‘gates’
2) what is meant by ‘go where there is no grass for 10 thousand miles’?
   3) We hunt for a place with no anger, attachments, no injustice, etc. What is wrong with
   viewing this a ‘place’ to which we can escape.
   4) in what way are ‘expectations,’ ‘concepts’, ‘attachments’ and desires causes of suffering
   and delusion (this is a topic in Suzuki as well); in what way in the mind in general the source of
   delusion and suffering; why does Zen aim for a ‘place’ where ‘no thought arises’? What is meant
   by this phrase or the phrase ‘forgetting the self.’ Why is the idea that we can somehow go this
   place a delusion and misunderstanding?
   5) what is meant by ‘even by not going outside the gate, the grass is boundless’?
   6) In what way is this a summation of what Zen ‘seeks:
   “Grass boundless inside the gate, outside the gate, you see by yourself. When the grass is
   boundless both inside and outside the gate, then the gate becomes meaningless, there is no
   boundary separating one place from another. So it is for anything that we perceive as separated
   from another. To go beyond all notions of divisions and edges is our practice.”

For the material on the Heart and Hesychasm by Kallistos Ware,

For the article “What is the Heart and What do We Find when We Enter” you should be able to
discuss these issues:
1) Define or characterize: ‘heart’; ‘hesychia,’ ‘intellect,’ ‘prayer of the heart’
2) How is the heart understood in modern Western usage? What is the relation between
   ‘mind’/’head’ and ‘heart’ in modern Western usage?
3) What is the meaning of the ‘heart’ in Scripture? Why is there no head (mind)/contrast in
   Scripture; in what sense is the heart the spiritual center of the person and the ‘meeting place’ with
   God; the ‘totality of the person.’
4) In what sense is the heart the battleground between good and evil?
5) What is meant by the idea of ‘the mind descending into the heart.’ In what sense is the proper
   function of the mind ‘prayer’ How is this connected with a ‘personal encounter with God’? What
   sort of prayer is the ‘Prayer of the Heart.

For the material from The Art of Prayer, you should be able to: discuss these issues

2) what is the difference between the body, soul, and spirit? What are their special ways of
   knowing?
3) What is the relation of the heart to the mind, soul and spirit?

You should be prepared for some compare and contrast questions:

1) For Aristotle, we are by nature rational, where ‘reason’ means acting and thinking in terms of
   universal principles. We can’t be truly happy or live well without actualizing our capacity to think
   and act rationally. How would Zen and the Hesychast (Eastern Orthodox) tradition regard this
   claim. Do the Zen and Hesychast traditions give similar responses? If not, how do they differ
   from one another.

2) Zen and the Hesychast tradition gives primacy to direct immediate experience. Compare and
   contrast what each means by this. Ditto with their critique of reason.

3) The Hesychast tradition distinguishes between body, mind, spirit and heart. Do we find similar
   distinctions for Aristotle, for Zen?